

STATEMENT OF MAURICE CRAFT
United States Secret Service Uniformed Division
(Retired)

During my tenure as a supervisor in the Secret Service Waves Center, I provided customized White House passholder reports to the White House Office of Personnel Security (OPS) upon their request. This was a routine and normal occurrence. These passholder reports would contain data fields from the Secret Service Waves database. However, these customized passholder reports were not the regular form passholder reports provided to the White House by the Secret Service.

My recollection is that OPS would specify the particular data fields they wanted to appear in a customized passholder report. In addition, certain data filters could be employed to generate certain types of reports at the request of OPS. I was always very careful to ask the specific fields and data the White House required. If there was no request to filter out certain information, for example the pass status of "A" for active passholder or "I" for inactive passholder, then all the data in that "status" field would be included in a passholder report. Therefore, if a request from OPS for a passholder report requested data fields like "Last Name," "First Name," "Date of Birth," "Social Security Number," or other personal descriptors, but did not specify "Active" or "Inactive" status only, then I would provide a report containing all passholders, both active and inactive.

In 1993, a printout of all White House passholders, both active and inactive, in hardcopy report form would have generated a voluminous list and the actual hardcopy report would, based on my best recollection, exceed four or more inches of lined computer printout paper. In 1993, a passholder report containing only active passholders, based upon my best recollection, would have generated a relatively short list of passholders and would have been about an inch thick hardcopy report. This difference in hardcopy report thickness, weight and the volume of passholders contained in the report would, in my opinion, be immediately recognizable. I believe experienced personnel in OPS would have immediately recognized the difference in hardcopy report size between a passholder list containing only "Active" passholders, and a report containing "Active and Inactive" passholders.

I have no specific recollection of being asked to generate the June 10, 1993 WAVES passholder hardcopy report which is the subject of the Independent Counsel's investigation. However, based upon my nine years of experience working in the Secret Service's WAVES Center, I believe that if OPS requested the June 10, 1993 report from me, and if I generated this report, then I provided an "Active and Inactive" passholder report containing no specific "status" column because that is what OPS wanted. In my opinion if I generated an "Active and Inactive" passholder report contrary to OPS instructions, instead of an "Active" only passholder report, both experienced OPS personnel and I would have immediately recognized the error and corrected it.

Dated: 6/5/00


Maurice Craft